RUSSELL SOCIETY NEWS

No. 78, May 1993

The Bertrand Russell Society, Inc.

3802 North Kenneth Avenue

Chicago, IL 60641-2814; U.S.A.

The Bertrand Russell Society was founded in 1974 to foster a better understanding of Russell's work and to promote ideas and causes he thought important. The Society's motto is Russell's statement, "The good life is one inspired by love and guided by knowledge."

Letters to <u>Russell Society News</u>, a quarterly issued in February, May, August, and November, should be addressed to Donald W. Jackanicz, Editor at the above Chicago address.

For information about or to join The Bertrand Russell Society, write to Mr. Lee Eisler; BRS Information Committee; 1664 Pleasant View Road; Coopersburg, PA 18036; U.S.A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ion</u> Pa	ge
From the President	.2
1993 Annual Meeting Update	. 2
Treasurer's Report	.4
Russell in the Manuscript Market	
BRS Library	
New Members	.6
Russell Alluded to as a Narcissist	.6
Contributions	.7
A Russell-Maya Lin Connection	
Russell in Smithsonian Magazine	.8
From Harry Ruja	.9
From Ken Korbin	10
Report from Lee and Jan Eisler	11
Sheila Turcon on Russell's Title	12
Officers of The Bertrand Russell Society	14
Russell's 23 July 1961 Statement	15
Ayer Upon Russell's 100th Birthday	16
"Bertrand Russell Challenges the New Intolerance"	17
Early Review of Russell's Autobiography	18
Reviews of Moorehead and Griffin	21
More Book Reviews	23
Death of Edwin Wilson	
Notes from American Libraries	
More Philatelic Russell	
	From the President. 1993 Annual Meeting Update. Treasurer's Report. Russell in the Manuscript Market BRS Library. New Members. Russell Alluded to as a Narcissist. Contributions. A Russell-Maya Lin Connection. Russell in Smithsonian Magazine. From Harry Ruja. From Ken Korbin. The Scientific Outlook Updated. Report from Lee and Jan Eisler. Review of New Popper Book. Sheila Turcon on Russell's Title. Officers of The Bertrand Russell Society. Russell's 23 July 1961 Statement. Ayer Upon Russell's 100th Birthday. "Bertrand Russell Challenges the New Intolerance". Early Review of Russell's Autobiography. Anellis Review of Philosophical Papers, 1896-99. Reviews of Moorehead and Griffin. New Humanist Review of Moorehead Book. More Book Reviews. Death of Edwin Wilson. Notes from American Libraries.

(1)

FROM THE PRESIDENT

Michael J. Rockler, President, The Bertrand Russell Society

At the 1992 Board meeting, it was decided to initiate new prizes for young scholars. Various categories were established including undergraduate students and graduate students. Each winner receives transportation to the annual meeting, all costs associated with the meeting, and a complimentary membership for one year in the Bertrand Russell Society.

Two persons will receive the prizes this year. Tyler W. Roberts, an undergraduate student at SUNY in Fredonia, will receive the undergraduate prize. He will present a paper entitled "Russell, the Individual, and Society." Stefan Anderson, joining us from Norway, will receive the graduate prize and present "BR's Search for Certainty in Mathematics and Religion."

Please join us at the BRS annual meeting in June and welcome these young scholars into the organization. I would also like to thank John Lenz who chaired the committee which has awarded these prizes.

This year's meeting honors Harry Ruja with the BRS Award. Harry will present the banquet address and speak on BR's life in photos. On Saturday Hal Walberg will conduct a reader's theater on Russell autobiography. Nick Griffin is tentatively scheduled to present a paper on Lady Ottoline. All of these presentations will add to our understanding of Russell as a person.

I am enthusiastic about our meeting in San Diego. It will be good to greet old friends and meet new ones. Won't you join us? Please send in your registration now. Most persons who attend the annual conference become regular participants. If you haven't been to a meeting, this would be a great time to start.

I look forward to seeing all of you in San Diego, June 18-20.

(2)

1993 ANNUAL MEETING UPDATE

The BRS's 1993 Annual Meeting will be held on the campus of the University of California at San Diego, located in suburban La Jolla, California, from Friday, June 18 through Saturday, June 20.

Accompanying this RSN issue is a blue annual meeting pre-registration form. If you are planning to attend but have not yet mailed in your registration form, please complete the form now and mail it to Michael Rockler. Michael and the other persons responsible for organizing the meeting will very much appreciate receiving your pre-registration and payment as soon as possible. Each person who pre-registers will be mailed additional information concerning meeting room locations and housing. We look forward to seeing you in June!

Search for

Here is the latest tentative Annual Meeting program:

Friday, June 18, 1993

4:00 - 6:00 p.m. Registration.

6:00 - 7:30 p.m. Dinner.

7:30 - 7:45 p.m. Welcoming Remarks.

Presentation of the Service Award to Marvin Kohl.

7:45 - 8:45 p.m. Timothy J. Madigan. "The Will to Believe vs. the Will to Doubt."

8:45 - 9:45 p.m. Presentation of the BRS Book Award to Nicholas Griffin. Nicholas Griffin. "Lady Ottoline."

9:45 p.m. Board Meeting. (All members welcome.)

Saturday, June 19, 1993

8:00 - 8:30 a.m. Registration.

8:30 - 10:00 a.m. Presentations by Winners of the 1993 Paper Prizes.

Tyler W. Roberts. "Russell, the Individual,

Tyler W. Roberts. "Russell, the Individual, and Society."

Stefan Andersson. "Bertrand Russell's Certainty in Mathematics and Religion."

10:00 - 10:15 a.m. Coffee.

10:15 - 11:15 a.m. John Shosky. "Russell and the Contemplation of Philosophy."

11:15 - 12:15 p.m. Bertrand Russell Society Meeting.

12:30 - 1:30 p.m. Lunch.

2:00 - 3:00 p.m. Hal Walberg. "Russell's Autobiography--A Readers' Theater."

3:15 - 4:45 p.m. Marvin Kohl and Michael J. Rockler. "Russell vs. Russell on Education."

4:45 - 5:30 p.m. Free Time.

5:30 - 7:00 p.m. Red Hackle Hour at Los Torrito's Restuarant.

7:00 p.m. Banquet.

Presentation of BRS Award to Harry Ruja.

Banquet Address by Harry Ruja. "Russell's Life in Photos."

Sunday, June 20, 1993

9:00 - 10:00 a.m. Dennis Darland. "What Is Mathematics About?"

10:15 - 10:30 a.m. Coffee. 10:30 - 11:30 a.m. Don Jackanicz. Work-

shop: Russell's "A Philosophy for Our Time." 11:30-12:15 p.m. Gonzalo Garcia.

"Did Bertrand Russell Think of Himself as a Pacifist?" 12:15 p.m. Closing.

(3)

TREASURER'S REPORT

Treasurer Dennis J. Darland submitted this report for the quarter ending March 31, 1993.

Beginning Balance, January 1, 1993	\$4,775.23
Income Contributions	9.70 410.50
Expenses Meetings Membership and Information Committee Miscellaneous Total Expenses	636.29
Final Balance, March 31, 1993	\$7,556.46

(4) RUSSELL IN THE MANUSCRIPT MARKET

Issued in late winter 1993, Catalog 69 of David Schulson Autographs (11 East 68th Street, New York, NY 10021, U.S.A.) has this entry on page 36.

- 110. RUSSELL, BERTRAND. (1872-1970). British philosopher, mathematician and reformer; awarded Nobel Prize in Literature (1950).
- T.L.S. [typed letter signed] on stationery imprinted in Welsh [sic], Merioneth, October 17, 1961. Typed above the Welsh address is "from: The Earl Russell, O.M., F.R.S."
- "I do not for a moment claim that I have 'swept two thousand five years of metaphysics into the discard' . . . something of this sort has occurred in logic, but . . . I have been only one of many contributors. . . . I have the highest respect for many philosophers of past times. . . ." Signed above his typed name, "Bertrand Russell." A fine comment on the course of logic in this century by one of its pre-eminent thinkers.

\$225.00

(5) BRS LIBRARY

The BRS Library sells and lends books, audiotapes, videotapes, and other materials by and about Russell. Please direct BRS Library inquiries and requests to Tom Stanley; Box 434; Wilder, VT 05088.

A new audiocassette has been received by the BRS Library: "Russell vs. Dewey on Education." With Michael Rockler, Tim Madigan, and John Novak. A session from the inaugural meeting of the Coalition for Secular Humanism and Freethought, June 1992. 115 minutes.

The following lists Books For Sale by the BRS Library. H-Cloth, otherwise paperback. Prices are postpaid. Please send your check or money order (U.S. funds only) payable to "Bertrand Russell Society" to Librarian Tom Stanley at the above address.

By Bertrand Russell:

Appeal to the American Conscience
Bertrand Russell, 1872-1970
Mr. Wilson Speaks 'Frankly and Fearlessly' on Vietnam to BR2.00 Russell by A.J. Ayer

A future issue of $\overline{\text{RSN}}$ will provide an updated list of audiocassettes and videocassettes available through the BRS Library. For now, please refer to earlier RSN issues for such lists.

(6)

NEW MEMBERS

We welcome these new Bertrand Russell Society members who joined in late 1992 and early 1993.

Susan M. Ackley. 145 Standart Avenue; J-119; Auburn, NY 13021. Matthew C. Altman. 3338 Broadmore Drive; Bay City, MI 48706. Henryk F. Andrzejczak. 15551 Charles River Avenue; Eastpointe, MI 48021-1605. Bruce Charpentier. P.O. Box 177; Georges Mills, NH 03751. Helen Charpentier. P.O. Box 177; Georges Mills, NH 03751. Joseph S. Covais. P.O. Box 327; Brandon, VT 05733. Vincent Daniele. 8688 Bay 16 Street; Brooklyn, NY 11214-4514. Claudio De Almeida. Caixa Postal 78; Porto Alegre, RS 90001-970; Brazil Isadora Delgado. 136 Periwinkle Road; Levittown, NY 11756. Amber Derek. 621 Cherry Street; Greensburg, PA 15601-2801. Robert Dow. 1462 East 3150 South; Salt Lake City, UT 84106. B. Raymond Eckstrand. Box 511; RFD #2; Open Meadows Road; Ashville, NY 14710. Richard W. Fiori. 1411 North Harrison; Fresno, CA 93728. J. Scotland Gallo. 17916 East Park Drive; Cleveland, OH 44119. Gordan I. Hall. P.O. Box 4193; Sevierville, TN 37864-4193. David S. Hart. 353 Rockingham Street; Rochester, NY 14620. William R. Hartzog. 405 College Drive; Gaffney, SC 29340. Bernice Kaiser. 1440 Freeport Loop; Brooklyn, NY 11239. Edward E. Kellman. 2934 West Farwell Avenue; Chicago, IL 60645. Craig Kelso. 7407 Alvarado Road; #162; La Mesa, CA 91941. Charles V. Lauricella. 324 38th Street; Niagara Falls, NY 14303-1053. Percy Li. 15925 La Escuela Court; Morgan Hill, CA 95037. Diane Mackenroth. 201 Kingsboro Street; Pittsburgh, PA 15211. Griffin D. McClellan. 3224 SE Hawthorne; Portland, OR 97214. Robert Arthur Paglia. WELI Radio; 495 Benham Street; Hamden, CT 06514. Gertrude E. Parker. 244 Williams Street; Meriden, CT 06450-4515. Henry D. Richardson. 12 Robbers Row; Hilton Head, SC 29928. David Schraven. 45 College Street; Buffalo, NY 14201. Hal Walberg. Philosophy Department; Box 88; Mankato Univ.; Mankato, MN 56002. William J. Whaley II. 1317 1st Avenue; Watervliet, NY 12189. James R. Zingelman. P.O. Box 15417; Rio Rancho, NM 87174

(7)

RUSSELL ALLUDED TO AS A NARCISSIST

In his article "Intense: Reflections on a Personality Type" in <u>The Atlantic</u>, May 1993, pp. 20-24, Richard Brookhiser briefly mentions Russell as "a twenty-four karat narcissist." Brookhiser then quotes Russell's Gladstone anecdote about port being served in a claret glass appearing in "Eminent Men I Have Known," an essay in <u>Portraits from Memory</u>. Thanks to Tim Madigan for this article.

(9)

(8) CONTRIBUTIONS

We would like to express our sincere thanks to these persons who made financial contributions to the Bertrand Russell Society during late 1992 and early 1993.

Neil Abercrombie. J.M. Altieri. Jay Aragona. Dong-In Bae. Michael Emmet Brady. Whitfield Cobb. Robert K. Davis. Linda Egendorf. Lee Eisler. Richard Fallin. Earl Hansen. David S. Hart. Charles W. Hill. James Lloyd Hoopes. Thomas C. Horne. Ting-Fu Hung. Robert T. James. Marvin Kohl. George G. Kuc. Gladys Leithauser. Glenn R. Moyer. Stephen J. Reinhardt. Benito Ray. William M. Ripley. Harry Ruja. John F. Schaak. Nan E. Scofield. Warren Allen Smith. Timothy S. St. Vincent. Henry Van Dyke. Michael J. Weber. Charles L. Weyand. John A. Wilhelm.

Like many small organizations, the BRS operates through a combination of membership dues and gratefully received contributions from those members whose interests or circumstances allow them to make such gifts. Contributions in any amount, large or small, should be made payable to "Bertrand Russell Society" and sent to BRS Treasurer Dennis J. Darland; 1965 Winding Hills Road; #1304; Davenport, IA 52807. Thanks to those who can help the BRS in this way!

A RUSSELL-MAYA LIN CONNECTION

We recommend at least an occasional perusal of <u>Current Biography</u>, one of The H.W. Wilson Company's fine monthly publications. The April 1993 issue features biographical articles on figures as diverse as Jacques Chirac, Mayor of Paris, actor Jack Klugman, musician Dave Brubeck, and surgeon Richard Selzer. The article about architect Maya Lin (pp. 35-39) was of particular interest as it linked one of her ancestors with Russell:

Maya Lin is best known as the architect whose spare, sculptural public monuments have helped countless Americans come to terms with some of the more painful facts of their country's history. More than a million people travel to Washington, D.C., each year, on a pilgrimage to Lin's Vietnam Veterans Memorial...Among Lin's other illustrious ancestors is her paternal grandfather, Lin Chang-min, a progressive lawyer who lobbied for reform in China and traveled to England in the 1920s as a member of the Chinese Association for the League of Nations. In London, the gregarious Lin Chang-min and his daughter, Hui-yin, counted among their friends and acquaintances such luminaries as H.G. Wells, E.M. Forster, Arthur Waley, Thomas Hardy, Bertrand Russell, and Katherine Mansfield.

(10)

RUSSELL IN SMITHSONIAN MAGAZINE

We were delighted to see Israel Shenker's major article about Russell's life in the May 1993 issue of Smithsonian (vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 128-130, 132-142). Here in reduced size are the first two pages. The issue's table of contents lists the article as "Paradoxical, polemical Bertrand Russell: Brilliant and fearless, the controversial philosopher ranged from mathematics to religion, ethics to free love." Also in this issue are articles about Thomas Jefferson at 250, the Celts, photomicrography, Duke Ellington, blacksmithing, and sharks, as well as one concerning the history and art of the Barnes Foundation. Included in the Barnes Foundation article is the to be expected reference to Russell. We recommend you pick up a copy of Smithsonian!

By Israel Shenker

The provocative progress of a pilgrim polymath

Bertrand Russell, the freewheelingest philosopher of the century, tried to prove that life is real-and found it earnest

Philosophers traditionally wonder about the nature of reality. How do we know it? How can we prove that we know it? Does the forest exist if there's no one around to see it? It doesn't, say the skeptical idealists. It does, say the philosophical realists. Is the external world, as idealthe philosophical realists. Is the external world, as idealists hists, merely a collection of sensations in one's head? These hairsplitting issues are still in doubt, though the conviction that objects exist, with or without witnesses, is on the rise. Even so, and even with the benefit of hind-sight, it is hard to believe that such a one as philosopher. Bertrand Russell really existed.

For in nearly every way imaginable, Russell's existence was no common matter. Philosophically, he was sometimes an idealist and sometimes a realist. Physically, he was something of an anomaly, a man slim, erect and fine of profile, who ver resembled the Mad Hatter or, as novelist Aldous Huxley once described him. "one extinct bird-lizards of the Tertiary." At the drop of he was prepared to dispute conventional wisdom vailing orthodoxy, even common sense-as well as his own most cherished convictions. He was sent to jail dur-ing World War I for writing that American troops in Eng-land might be used to intimidate British strikers. (The land might be used to intimidate British strikers. (The authorities claimed that Russell had prejudiced His Majesty's relations with the USA.) In the 1920s he visited the Sowiet Union but, unlike most liberals of the time, saw it as a repressive disaster area. Yet in his old age Russell was best known as an anti-American, world-

As a thinker, he fixed Man's place as a footnote in an



schoolchildren: 60-year-old Russell holds

He founded school in 1927, encouraged free thought about sex and religion, and daily orange juice.

obscure portion of the cosmic volume. He once described people as 'timy lumps of impune carbon and water dividing their time between labor to postpone their normal dissolution and frantic struggle to hasten it for others. 'Before his death in 1970, however, he wrote: 'Three passions, simple but overwhelmingly strong, have governed my life; the longing for love, the search for knowledge, and unbearable pity for the suffering of mankind.' In 1990, when he won the Nobel Prize in Literature, he became the only English philosopher and Nobel laureate ever denied a post at an American university for, obscure portion of the cosmic volume. He once described

among other things, advocating and practicing free love. But he was also, without doubt, the most brilliant, the most inventive, the most fearless and the most controversial philosopher of the 20th century. As well as, hands down, the most prolific—more than 80 books, thousands of articles, 50,000 letters, about everything from the foundations of pure mathematics to the credities of foundations of pure mathematics to the cruelties of Communism, from what makes a true statement true, to the need for birth control.

Arguably, too, he was the century's most influential philosopher. Though Gottlob Frege, a then obscure

German professor of mathematics, is now regarded as the founder of mathematical logic, it was Russell, as the main creator of what is known as analytic philosophy, who first made questions about the nature and role of

logic and language central to philosophy.

This astonishing polymath was born in 1872. His godfather was John Stuart Mill, the arch-advocate of liberty and social justice. His grandfather Lord John Russell (later, 1st earl Russell) had twice served Queen Victoria sprime minister and perhaps saved England from revolution by introducing the Reform Bill of 1832. His fa(11)

FROM HARRY RUJA

At the June 1993 Annual Meeting Harry Ruja will receive the BRS Award for his many contributions to Russell studies. We look forward, too, to hearing Harry's banquet adress titled "Russell's Life in Photos."

RSN 76, November 1992 asked for help in identifying this Russell quotation displayed on the first page of the September 13, 1992 [Montreal] Gazette: "The exercise of power is agreeable, especially when it is an obscure individual who exercises power over a prominent one." In response to this Harry wrote to us:

The quote . . . has eluded me, but there is something similar in Chap. 5 of <u>War Crimes in Vietnam</u> (first published in <u>The Minority of One</u>, Feb. 1965): "Man is a quarrelsome and power-loving animal. Life without power and without quarrels would seem to him a tame and tedious affair. From the combination of quarrels and love of power most of history proceeds...."

Harry is often the source for materials reproduced in RSN. Here are two more short newspaper clippings he provided, respectively from The Guardian, October 20, 1965 and The [London] Evening Standard, May 16, 1962.

Russell's son

Bertrand Russell's younger son, Mr Conrad Russell, was chosen last night as prospective Labour parliamentary candidate for South Paddington. Mr Russell, aged 28, is a lecturer in modern history at London University. He lives with his wife in Hampstead. In the general election, South Paddington was held for the Conservatives by Commander Robert Allan with a majorly of 3.399.

Russell So.

ALTHOUGH Bertrand
Russell a non-believer,
there is to be a religious
service on Sunday to mark
his 90th birthday. It is to
be at Lewisham Unitarian
Church His The Rev.
Jeremy Goring, tells me:
"We thought it a good thing
to do.' Although Lord Russell
will have nothing to do with
any organised religion, we
feel he is a deeply religious
man in the real sense.
"There is also a strong
family connection with the
Unitarian Church. His grandparents founded the Unitarian Church in London."
There will be an address on
Russell's significance; a lesson
taken from one of his books;
and a hymn written by his
uncle, Rollo Russell.

Lord Russell has not been
invited to the service. "I
expect if we had done'so, we

would have got a rather amusing refusal," says Mr.

Goring.

(12)

FROM KEN KORBIN

Longtime BRS member Ken Korbin asked for this Open Letter to be printed in $\overline{\text{RSN}}$. Ken refers to a videotape in the BRS Library of a Phil Donahue television program featuring Gore Vidal. Anyone wishing to respond to Ken may contact him directly at his New York address or may write to $\overline{\text{RSN}}$.

March 1993

An Open Letter to BRS Members

It troubles me that the Russell Society Library continues to keep the videocassette by Gore Vidal in its collection.

For at least the past 5 years, a great deal of information concerning Mr. Vidal's anti-Semitic remarks and affiliations have been appearing in New York City newspapers.

I myself mailed one particularly informative newspaper article about Vidal to the BRS last summer.

If any other members of the BRS feel as strongly about this matter as I do, please let me know.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

KEN KORBIN

P.O. Box 763 Village Station New York, NY 10014

(13)

THE SCIENTIFIC OUTLOOK UPDATED

Tim Madigan informed us of Timothy Ferris's May 13, 1993 book review of Understanding the Present: Science and the Soul of Modern Man by Brian Appleyard (Doubleday, 269 pp., \$23.50) in The New York Review of Books, pp. 17-19. Mr. Ferris begins his article with a summary examination of Russell's book The Scientific Outlook and moves on to the body of his review by reflecting, "...it's hardly surprising that controversy continues to flare up over the Faustian question of whether science has at last gone too far."

(14) REPORT FROM LEE AND JAN EISLER

Lee Eisler, BRS Vice President/Information and Editor Emeritus of RSN, and Jan Eisler now spend the warm months in Coopersburg, Pennsylvania and the cool months in Madeira Beach, Florida. We were very happy to learn the following about Lee's most recent large-scale writing project: "Prometheus Books expects to publish my book--The Quotable Bertrand Russell--in May 93.... A mailing from H.H. Waldo, Bookseller lists the book among his books for sale, and calls it a new paperback, 235 pp. Prometheus says the price is \$16.95." It will be good to see the Eislers again at the 1993 Annual Meeting in San Diego. We hope that Lee's book might be on display then and would be delighted to have an autograph session!

Here is more important news from Lee:

The Virgin Mary Has an 800 Number

Several large billboards in the St. Petersburg, FL area were advertising this fact (if, in fact, it was a fact).

It was.

(15)

We called the number -- 1-800-882-MARY -- and got the following recorded message:

The Virgin Mary has been appearing on Long Island, New York, with an urgent message for the world. To receive this message, along with your free brochure, leave your name and address at the tone, and it will be sent to you through the mail at no cost. At the tone, please speak clearly, and spell out your mailing address. And may God bless you.

We of course followed these heaven-sent instructions, and await the outcome with considerable anticipation.

REVIEW OF NEW POPPER BOOK

We recommend reading Ernest Gellner's review of <u>In Search of a Better World:</u>
Lectures and Essays of Thirty Years by Sir Karl Popper (Routledge, 256 pp. \$25), appearing in <u>The New Republic</u>, issue 4,083, pp. 35-38. Sir Karl was the recipient of the 1992 BRS Award. In his review, Gellner refers to Russell as one "of the prophets of liberalism I have met . . . [who] were personally liberal and tolerant...."

(16)

SHEILA TURCON ON RUSSELL'S TITLE

The good work done by Sheila Turcon at the Bertrand Russell Archives and the Russell Editorial Project at McMaster University ends on June 15, when she leaves her current position there. Russell researchers, including many BRS members, are indebted to Sheila for her consistent professionalism and commitment to Russell studies. We were particularly pleased that Sheila was able to participate in the 1992 BRS Annual Meeting in Washington, DC, and we hope to see her again at some of our future meetings. We are grateful to Sheila for having contributed the following article to RSN.

Lord Bertrand?--Never

With my time of employment at the Russell Editorial Project coming to an end, it seems appropriate to record all I know about Bertrand Russell's title less, alas, it be lost forever. One of my first tasks with the Project was to index Volume I of The Collected Papers. I was instructed to get all the titles correct so we would not be pilloried by British reviewers as colonial hicks.

Lord John Russell was born the son of the Duke of Bedford in 1792. title was a courtesy title as a younger son of a peer. His oldest brother, the heir to the dukedom, took the Duke's second title, Marquess of Tavistock, as a courtesy. Holders of courtesy titles are not eligible to sit in the House of Lords. The second title continued to be held by the father but was used by his eldest son. The styling of Lord John's title (i.e. the inclusion of his first name) told all those familiar with the British system of titles exactly what his status was. He married, in 1841, Lady Frances Elliot, the daughter of the Earl of Minto. In her case, the styling indicated something different, i.e. that her title came by birth from her father. Upon marriage women had to take the titles of their husbands, if they had titles to give Thus she became Lady John Russell. If she had married outside the nobility she could have kept the Lady Frances styling. A good example of this is one of Russell's mistresses, Lady Constance Malleson (daughter of Earl Annesley but married to a commoner, Miles Malleson). Lady John was an official title and not a nickname indicative of her ferocity (as was once thought by some).

Younger sons with courtesy titles are not able to pass on their titles to the next generation. When Lord John was created Earl Russell and Viscount Amberley in 1861 by Queen Victoria for his service to the nation in a variety of posts including Prime Minister, he became a peer, gaining titles which he was able to pass on to his children. His oldest son John took the second title, Viscount Amberley, as a courtesy. The Earl's younger sons become the Hon. William Russell and the Hon. Rollo Russell. An earldom is of lesser rank than a dukedom; the titles of the sons are therefore different. The titles of the daughters are the same; his daughter Agatha became Lady Agatha. And his

wife Frances became Countess Russell (alternatively Lady Russell). [Note: for indexing purposes: Russell, Frances, Lady NOT Russell, Lady Frances.] The confusion arises, I think, because both Lord John Russell and Lord Russell are correct for the first Earl Russell at different stages in his life. Lord Russell is an alternative styling for Earl Russell and the only acceptable form of second person address; both styles are fine for third person.

But for Viscount Amberley's younger son, Bertrand, only two stylings are correct: the Hon. Bertrand Russell and Earl Russell (alternatively Lord Russell). Bertrand was never known as Viscount Amberley because he was never the oldest son of an Earl. And when he succeeded in 1931 on the death of his brother Frank, the second Earl, he already had a son of his own. Although he succeeded to both titles, he used only the senior one. His son, John Conrad took the second title, Viscount Amberley, as a courtesy and his daughter became Lady Katharine. Bertrand did not gain a seat in the House of Lords immediately. Sufficient time had to pass (11 months!) to ensure that Frank's long-estranged wife Elizabeth would not give birth to an heir.

Although by that time Russell was well known as simply Bertrand Russell and continued to publish under that name, he was well aware of all the information I have just imparted to you, and, no doubt, could have explained it all more clearly. But take heart, even Frank could get confused by all this. He states in his autobiography, My Life and Adventures, p. 42: "I was no longer Viscount Amberley, I was Earl Russell—wretched child of twelve!" Even if he is correct about being Viscount Amberley (he was not, after all, the oldest son of an Earl) he is wrong about losing the Amberley title; it was his for life.

Russell made several statements to the press that I know of concerning his title. Here are few of them.

1. His announcement, on his succession, with his wife Dora, in March 1931: "Lord and Lady Russell state that they only propose to make us of their title when it is strictly necessary for formal occasions. In the household and ordinary life they and their children will continue to be styled as before. For the purpose of his literary work, Mr. Russell will continue to write as Bertrand Russell. Mrs. Russell, on democratic and feminist grounds, wishes to preserve her identity as Dora Russell, in which name she has hitherto written."

Note: the styling Dora Russell, rather than Mrs. Bertrand Russell broke conventions of the time; Mrs. Dora Russell was the styling of a divorced woman. In 1926 Russell, in an interview with Crystal Eastman, stated: "I admit I should not like to have become Mr. Dora Black."

It was also in 1931 that the story resurfaced that Russell would have taken the title Lord Snooks if he had been given a title to swell the membership of the House of Lords in 1911.

2. Letter to the editor of <u>The New Stateman and Nation</u>, 25 June 1932: "By an inadvertence for which I am not responsible, my signature appears in

your issue of June 18th as "Russell." [Note: this is the correct signature for a peer.] Ever since, through no fault of my own, I became a peer, I have been trying to persuade my snobbish countrymen not to use my title, but it is up-hill work."

3. Divorced from Dora and living with his new wife, Patricia, in the United States: "American journalists say I refused the title. That isn't so. It irritated me to get anonymity as Lord Russell since my reputation was established as Bertrand Russell, but there is no known method of losing a title except to be accused of high treason and have your head cut off on Tower Hill, which hasn't been done for centuries." 14 March 1943.

Patricia Russell had this light-hearted comment to make in Princeton, N.J. on 7 Feb. 1944: "It [the title] is such a handicap. Sometimes we do, sometimes we don't. In shops when they asked for my name I used to say Lady Russell. The girl would look puzzled and say, 'But is it Miss Lady Russell or Mrs. Lady Russell?'"

- 4. On their return to England, their position seemed to harden: "I must state again that except where my nom-de-plume is concerned, I prefer to be known as Lord Russell. It is still frequently assumed that I prefer to be known as 'Mr. Russell' or "Professor Russell' or 'Doctor Russell' or anything rather than 'Lord Russell.' This is erroneous. I have never wished to be called 'Mr.' and I am not a doctor or a professor. What is particularly annoying is a widespread practice of alluding to me as 'Mr. Russell' and to my wife as 'Lady Russell' giving the utterly false impression that my wife wishes to use her title while I do not wish to use mine. In fact, she has simply adopted my own usage." 19 May 1945.
- 5. Later in life he signed many letters to the editor as "Russell." Edith Russell's papers contain a typed information slip for domestic staff on how to properly address them using their titles. Russell made this statement on her title: "To call my wife Lady Edith Finch Russell implies that she is the daughter of a Duke, a Marquis or an Earl, and that I am not a peer. You must call hereither Lady Russell or Countess Russell." 16 Sept. 1958. The mistake was to equate Edith's ranking to that of Lady Constance Malleson, when it was, in fact, the exact opposite.

To inquiring correspondents, however, Russell often replied: "I do not care two pins how I am addressed."

(17) OFFICERS OF THE BERTRAND RUSSELL SOCIETY

Chairman of the Board of Directors--Marvin Kohl. President--Michael J. Rockler. Vice President--John Lenz. Vice President/Information--Lee Eisler. Secretary--Donald W. Jackanicz. Treasurer--Dennis J. Darland.

(18) RUSSELL'S 23 JULY 1961 STATEMENT

Tom Stanley located this reprinted statement in The Living Theatre Repertory, 1961-62, 10th Anniversary. Tom regrets to report, however, that he is not aware of where it was originally published. Can you supply this information?

STATEMENT

23 July, 1961

Bertrand Russell

Most people in this country, as well as in other countries, appear to be unaware that the Governments of East and West are solemnly preparing, by mutual vituperation, to create a general state of mind in which the nuclear war, as almost all experts are agreed, means, not only the extermination of ninetenths of the populations of Russia and the United States, but also — what for us in Britain, is peculiarly important - the total and complete extermination of the whole population of Western Europe and Britain. Perhaps, to be scrupulously exact, one should make one small exception: if it should happen that, throughout the few days of war, the wind blew coninvously from the West, there might be a few dozen survivors in the Outer Hebrides. A nuclear war would wipe out Europe completely and North America as a component of civilization. If it were not happening, I should have thought it inconceivable that ministers, hitherto uncertified, could calmly contemplate this wanton and merciless disaster. Yet, so it is Statements have been recently made on both sides of the Iron Curtain claiming that in a nuclear war the side to which the speaker belonged might achieve victory. This is utterly nonsense; and must be known to be nonsense by those who utter it, unless they are criminally ignorant of things which it is their duty to know. The peril is imminent and deadly. Before the end of the year we may all be dead — you, your children if you have any, your wife or husband, our friends, and all who make up the population of our neighborhood and your country. If you do nothing, during the coming weeks of crisis, you will have your share in the blame. You will have your part in the crime of killing all those whom you care for. The Governments can be stopped in their mad folly, but they can only be stopped by a vast movement of protests in which all some men and women must take part. The time may be short. Action must be NOW, or it may be too late.

The one thing for which we must stand is that the differences between East and West, whether about Berlin or about any other issue, must be settled by negotiation and not by war — for war, in the nuclear age, can leave nothing standing that either side could possibly desire. I call upon the population of this country, and of every other country that is willing to listen, to rise in a vast protest with the cry, "Negotiation, no war." If you wish to live, if you wish those you care for to live, if you care for your country, if you care for human achievement, it is your duty, in this moment of supreme danger, to do all that lies in your power to bring some spark of reason and humanity into the minds and hearts of those who control the destinies of East and West.

We have usually tried to publish in our programs some article which we thought would be of interest to our audience about contemporary theatre, sometimes a poem, or an essay, or a statement. Seeking some new piece for this program, we found that again and again nothing seemed quite so important, so relevant, at this eloquent and moving statement by Bertrand Russell. Since there will be no theatre if there is no world, it seemed to us the most important thing to publish at this moment, and, the space being available, we could not suppress the felt need to put it in print.

J. B., J. M. November, 1961 (19)

AYER UPON RUSSELL'S 100TH BIRTHDAY

The late Sir Alfred Ayer, himself one of the century's foremost philosophers and an honorary BRS member, wrote this tribute upon the occasion of Russell's 100th birthday. It appeared on May 18, 1972 in the [London] Evening Standard. Thanks to Harry Ruja for this article.

24-EVENING STANDARD, THURSDAY, MAY 18, 1912

Bertrand Russell: the passion inside the sceptic ...



TODAY is the centestary of the birth of the most important phisicospher and one of the greatest Engstehmen of the tweatest course, Bertond Russell was born on May 18, 1872 and died, only a little more than two years ago, on February 2, 1970.

To the end of his long life, be retained the incidity, the wit, the wide intellectual interests, and the passionate concern for human welfare which had always been characteristic of him. He came closer than any other man of our time to realising the Platonic ideal of a philosopher as one who compines universal learning with an active engagement in practical affairs.

It was indeed, mainly through the political activity in which he engaged towards the close of his life, and through his work as a social and moral propagnatis that Russell came to enjoy worldwide fame; but it is to his philosophical work, and especially that which he

Bertrand Russell, who was born 100 years ago today and died in 1970, was once asked what he would say after death if confronted by his Maker. His reply: "I should say 'God—why did you make the evidence of your existence so insufficient?"." Here is a centenary tribute to one of the greatest Englishmen of the twentieth century.

by Professor Sir Alfred Ayer

being.
Together with his friend G. E. Moore and his pupil Ludwig Wittgenstein he inspired the analytical movement in philosophy which, at least among English-speaking philosophers, has been

Landmark

(20)

"BERTRAND RUSSELL CHALLENGES THE NEW INTOLERANCE"

Thanks again to Harry Ruja for supplying the Henry Hazlitt review of Russell's Religion and Science appearing in The New York Times Book Review, December 29, 1935, p. 2.

Bertrand Russell Challenges The New Intolerance

His New Book Invests the Fundamental Conflicts of Four Centuries of Science and Religion With Fresh Interest

RELIGION AND SCIENCE, By Bertrand Russell. 271 pp. New York: Henry Holt & Co. \$2. By HENRY HAZLITT

this volume Mr. Russell traces, as many writers have before him, the long history of the conflicts of actence and religion over the lant 400 years; but he succeeds in investing the religion over the last 400 years; but he succeeds in investing the subject with a fresh interest. He begins with the Copernican revo-lution, telling once more how Gailleo was forced by the church to "abjure, curse and detest" his to appure, curse and deter" his formarly expressed opinion that the earth was not the center of the universe but revolved around the sun. He describes the long centuries during which consets were regarded not as heavenly were regarded not as heavenly bodies moving in regular paths but as ornens of disaster. He tells of the opposition to the doctrine of evolution—first in astronomy, then in geology and, at tast, in biology. He describes the long connection of superstition with medicine—the belief, for example, that the help of the connection of the connection of the connection of superstition with medicine—the belief, for example, same were inhabited by evil spirita, which could be driven out only by vile medicines, beating or torture. He devotes considerable space to the belief in witchership apiec to the belief in witcherst, which led, between the years 1450 and 1550, to the putting to death, mostly by burning, of perhaps a hundred thousand witches in Ger-many stone; he sacribes this perse-cution in these part (as belief). witch to live." n in large part to the biblical

Mr. Russell then turns to several problems which are still sub-jects of controversy - the relation of the "soul" to the "body," deof the "smil" to the "hosty," de-terminism and free will, the valid-ity of mysticism, the existence of "cosmic purpose," the relation of science and ethics. On the first problem Mr. Russell concludes that in the present state of psychology and physiology, bellef in immor-tality can claim no support from science, and that such arguments as are possible on the subject point to the probable extinction of personality at death. It rejects determinism as an absolute meta-physical doctrine, but accepts it as a limited working hypothesis for science. He does not agree with Eddington that our discoveries about the nature of the atom have re-established the existence of about the nature of the atom have re-catabilished the existence of "free will" either in man or in the universe as a whole. He rejects the claims of the mystica when they assert that the universe is an indivisible unity, that evil is illusory, or that time is unreal;

he does not admit any method of arriving at truth except that of acience, but concedes that in the emotional realm the mystical ex-perience may have value. He re-jects the belief of such acientists jects the bellef of such acientials and philosophers as J. Arthur Thomson, J. B. Haltlane, Alexander, Bergson and Lloyd Morgan that the universe at least reveals evidence of Cosmic Purpose;

evidence of Cosmic Purpose:

If it is the purpose of the
Cosmon to evolve mind, we must
regard it as rather incompetent
incompetent in the properties of the
action of time. ** Monta action in the limit of the cosmon action in a backwater, is intelligible his mixture of virtues and vices is such
as might be expected to result
from a fortuitous origin that
only abysmal self-complacency
can see in Man a reason which

ator.

On the relation of science to ethics, Mr. Russell's reasoning is ethics, Mr. Russell's reasoning is rather curious. He begins by "ad-mitting" that "science has nothing to my about 'valuer." Science is based solely on evidence; "values" are derived entirely from destres and emotions, and there can be no arguing about them. He then ar-rives at this remarkable conclu-sion:

while it is true that science cannot decide questions of value, that is because they cannot be intellectually decided at all, and ile outside the resim of truth and falsehood. Whatever knowl-edge is attainable must be tained by scientific methods; and what science cannot dis-cover, manitind cannot know.

cover, mankind cannot know.

In this passage Mr. Russell in effect identifies "acience" with the whole field of knowledge. This can be done only by robbing the word of most of its special usefulness and meaning. Before the appearance of Gailleo. "acience" as we think of it today hardly existed, yet priests, statemen, soliders, courtesana, painters and cathedrai builders certainly knew a great deal, even if their knowledge wan not "acientific." Science is simply not "scientific." Science is simply knowledge of a particular sort-precise, tested, and capable of be-ing stated in the form of "laws" broad generalizations

or broad generalizations.
The truth is that general knowledge, and even scientific knowledge, have a great deal to any
about "values" are not
raw desires and merely instinctive appetites, but the results of inter appetities, but the results of inter-preting and reflecting upon our desires in reletion to the natural world and to other men. Even Mr.; Russell's own's elected littlestrations do not support his contention: "If one man says, 'Oysters are good.'



and another says, I think they are that there is nothing to argue about." But there is nothing to argue about." But there about a reque about if you can prove aclentifically, or even indicate a fair probable that ethics will easily, or even indicate a fair probable that the same in the same and the same

(21)

EARLY REVIEW OF RUSSELL'S AUTOBIOGRAPHY

This review of the first volume of Russell's autobiography appeared sometime in 1967 in an unidentified Madison, Wisconsin newspaper. Note the last line indicating the review was issued by the New York Times News Service.

BOOKS

Antidote by Bertrand Russell

"The Autobingraphy of Bertrand Russell, 1672-1886." 356 pages. Atlantic-Little, Brown, 22.5.

This relaxed and chatty, if random, menger (you can hear Lord Russell disting it) will serve as a happy antidote to the pictures of the testy, waspish, prunefaced figure we have had served up to us in the last decade or so in the press and en_talevision.

There is plenty of bite in these pages, especially in those dealing with his near family and the distant Americans, one of whom he married. But the prevailing impression a reader will take away is of ahersly delineated profiles and character aketches that are sympositotic and juind.

Ressell in those first 42 paters of his life must have had a very wanting and understanding nature.

He admired and sufjoyed the company of a whole range of people, who is turn admired him. When his marriage had already been on the rocks for years, his wife (not yet divorced) wrote him a tender and loving letter than is one of the most moving incidents in the book.

His reverence for the philosopher G. E. Moore was just short of idolatry. As is well known, he collaborated with Alfred North Whitehead on the "Principle Mothematica" and his chapter on that entgrand

matic thinker only wheta the appetite for more. He snade a strong friend in Gilbert Murray, whose translations of Euripides delighted him. And his friendship with Joseph Courad, which was not of long duration, ram surprisingly deep.

iong euranon, ran surprisingly deep.

In his dealings with these people and others lesser known to the public, Russell did not merely while away the time. The days and visits were spent in good fally, in discussion, in searching question and answer. The atmosphere, judging grom Russell's writings and the letters included in the book, must have been exhibitarating.

It wasn't until he got to Cambridge that he really flowered and felt his own mental power. Russell cannot say enough abset that university. The stimulus it provided and the friends, the horizons it opened up made it all that a young man with a devouring intellect could have wished.

After Cambridge the memoirs divide into twe lines: his marriage and divorce and the writing of "The Principles of Mathematics" and, with Whitehead, of "Principla Mathematics."

The frequent depression is suffered as well as the paralyzing emotional let-downs give us some idea how ardnous such intellectual labor can be.

It was also during this decade that his reservings broke up.

What is missing meet from the heek is may seese of what his work was about. He throws out a hint here and there, but something of more substance was in order. Perhaps he is relying on books like the Egner and Dennon volume on the basic writings or the P. A. Schlipp comprehensive survey of his philosophy to take up the slack.

This volume is obviously not a substitute for the life that will be written.

On the other hand we will be locky if

that big book is as good reading as this
the By THOMAS LASK
(a) 1967. Non York Timbs Noos Service

Samuel State of the State of th



BERTRAND RUSSELL

(22) ANELLIS REVIEW OF PHILOSOPHICAL PAPERS, 1896-99

Thanks to BRS member Irving H. Anellis for allowing us to use these excerpts from his review of The Collected Papers of Bertrand Russell: Volume 2, Philosophical Papers, 1896-99, edited by Nicholas Griffin and Albert C. Lewis. His much longer full review originally appeared in Modern Logic, vol. 3, no. 2, February 1993, pp. 192-200.

I once heard an undergraduate philosophy instructor seriously proclaim that Bertrand Russell's reputation was so great that, if he chose to scribble notes on bathroom tissue, any distinguished philosophical journal to which he might submit those scribblings would publish them forthwith and without question. Something of this sort has come to pass. It is also popular opinion that Russell could write a paper straight through, without having to make corrections. While this may have become true through many decades of practice on Russell's part, it was certainly far from true for the pre-Principia Russell who had barely finished his studies at Cambridge when the materials included in the present volume were first penned.

This book is the result of a considerable amount of scholarly effort by historians of mathematics, by the secretarial and production staff of the Bertrand Russell Editorial Project (BREP) and the personnel of the Russell Archives at McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario. It took over a decade for the preparation of this volume; work on it had already begun by Gregory H. Moore and philosopher Nicholas Griffin before I arrived for a one-year stint at BREP in June 1982. After I left, work was taken up again by Moore and Albert C. Lewis, with Griffin providing the continuity during the entire period. Was it worth the effort, the time?...

The materials published in this volume, taken cumulatively, give the impression of a young man, barely out of college, who, having held his thoughts in check while in school, was now impelled by a strong burst of loosed energy too long pent up, to write down every thought he had ever had up to that time. This frenetic work pace, together with the philosophical baggage that distorted Russell's view of the work in analysis and set theory (and most of all of Cantor's work in set theory), might help to account for the egregious errors in Russell's first attempts to understand Cantorian set theory which I have enumerated in previous papers, including, for example, his failure to understand or accept the distinction between natural numbers and the reals. But I am not totally convinced that this by itself, or even in concert with Russell's "poor" mathematical education, is sufficient to explain Russell's difficulties in comprehending Cantor. I spent a year working on many of the materials found in the first part of this volume, and the longer I worked with the materials, the more I felt that there was a deeper problem than Russell's distorting philosophical prism or his poor mathematical training. This may appear to be unfair to Russell, and it leaves the problem of

explaining how Russell could have been so "dense"--excuse the pun--about Cantorian set theory during this time and still have evolved in only a few short years into the titan of logic who co-authored the Principia....

In the end, our sense of disappointment at Russell's lack of mathematical acuity in these writings remains, especially as regards his treatment of set theory and foundations of analysis. We are thus inexorably led to the question of the value of the volume we have here. I found nothing to suggest that the material included in this volume has an intrinsic interest of its own. It neither portends great mathematical achievements from Russell in the future nor presents any long-lasting or significant contributions of its own. If one believes that, at least for the decade or so between 1900 or 1903 and 1913, Russell achieved the status of a mathematical genius as exemplified by his work in logic, then the primary value of this book is in the inspiration it offers respecting the kind of remarkable improvement that can be made in a very short time by a clever and dedicated student--with or without the help of an outstanding mentor such as Whitehead--despite a previously inadequate education. On a more sober note, this book is worthy of the attention of philosophers of mathematics and philosophers of science who wish to trace Russell's development from his early Hegelian idealism to his later realism and learn how the early idealism shaped his thinking in philosophy of mathematics and philosophy of science. It is dubious that even the dedicated of Russell scholars specializing in philosophy of mathematics or philosophy of science will find it worth the \$150+ pricetag, however. And for the more sceptical, for those who do not believe in miracles that take one from mathematical mediocrity to genius almost overnight, the question remains, whether the unpublished materials that were included in this volume ought not remain unpublished. This was a question which I asked myself when I was working on some of the materials contained between these covers. If they are judged on their own merits alone, without any consideration of the historical context, the reply is clearly 'No.' If, on the other hand, the goal is to provide a complete and accurate portrait of Russell's intellectual development and of the true scope and intellectual level of his work--which is, after all, the purpose of the BREP that prepares for publication the series in which the present volume appears -- then the reply is affirmative.

In its physical appearance, this book is magnificent, luxuriant, even ostentatious, with its more than 680~(x1+647) high bulk, glossy pages and goldleaf trim. From this perspective, its \$150+ price is readily apparent, befitting an author whose archives have taken on certain aspects of a temple shrine, but impractical in its exorbitance for the ordinary scholars who might find these materials of some use.

(23)

REVIEWS OF MOOREHEAD AND GRIFFIN

Thanks to Benito Ray for providing these January 16, 1993 Toronto Star reviews of Caroline Moorehead's Bertrand Russell and Nicholas Griffin's The Selected Letters of Bertrand Russell, Volume 1, The Private Years (1884-1914).

AROLINE MOORE-HEAD, the English writer who wrote a splendid book about pacifists and consci-s objectors (Troublesoms Enemies Of War 1918-86) written a splendid book

Radical of the century



BERTIE AND ALYS:

BERTIE AND ALYS:
Russell's first marriage
(to Alys Pearsall Smith,
above), was doomed
from the start. The
young couple's
lignorance of sex was
astonishing.

astonishing.

logicians. "I'm allowed to use plain English." he wrote later. "because everybody knows that I could use mathematical logic If I chose. I suggest to young professors that their first work should be written in a jargon only to be understood by the erudite few. With that behind them, they can ever after say what they have to say in a language everybody can understand.

Russell own life makes enthematically the say in a language everybody can understand. The say in the say of the large gossip. Four times married, the last time at the age of 40 (vere successfulls "I'm happy because my grands are working," he said "thet's the whole secret", ge was a tireless punsarioerer and a man of passionate contradictions, a gentus prone to follies, now some propound the next. In one of the best biographies I have ever read, Moorehead does full justice to his private as well as his public life — to his shocking lapses into coldness and indifference as well as his intelligence, charm, humor and almost superhuman energy.

Stephen Visinczey is the suthor of the novels in Prises Of Other Wom-

The Selected Letters Of Ber-trand Russell, Vol. I, The Pri-vate Years (1884-1914) edited by Nicholas Griffin, Penguin, 553 pages, \$47.99

By J. M. Cameron

By J. M. Cameron

ICHOLAS GRIFFIN
has put together a
fine selection of
Bertrand Russell's
lettera, from the
Gritten of the start of
Bertrand Russell's
lettera, from the
Word marriage then be was 12.
In his skillful introduction, off
In gives a frugal account of his
rapid development as a philosopher and especially of his work in
mathematical logic — the work
on which his reputation chiefly
rests — of the "mysticism" that
he found himself stretched to, as
it were, by his mathematical the
coveries. We are given a slight
sketch of his first encounter with
Witgenstein, an encounter with



(24) NEW HUMANIST REVIEW OF MOOREHEAD BOOK

New Humanist is the quarterly journal of the Rationalist Press Association (15 Lamb's Conduit Passage, London WCIR 4RH, England). In its membership advertisement that organization says, "The aims of the RPA are to argue for a rational approach to human problems, to suggest reasoned alternatives to religious dogmas, to advance a secular system of education, to defend freedom of thought and civil liberties, and to encourage activities in support of these aims." Over the years many prominent persons, including Russell, have been involved with the RPA.

Calling the <u>New Humanist</u> an "excellent journal," Warren Allen Smith provided a sample issue to us--vol. 107, no. 4, December 1992--that included this book review of Caroline Moorehead's recently published Russell biography.

New Humanist Review Bertrand Russell: A Life by Caroline Moorehead (Sinclair-Stevenson, £20)

BERTRAND RUSSELL hasn't done very well by biographers. It is partly his own fault. He was fully aware of the publicity and money value of his life story, and he did his best to exploit it himself. During the last decade before his death, in 1970, he sold his collection of papers to McMaster University in Canada, and then published The Autobiography of Bertrand Russell in three volumes (1967-1969) — so on the one hand there is an enormous amount of original material to go through, and on the other he had the first and very good go at it. There have been several studies of his life and thought by friends and relations and by professional philosophers, but the only general biography so far has been Ronald W. Clark's The Life of Bertrand Russell (1975), which was long and useful but rather pedestrian, and which is out of print. Caroline Moorehead has now attempted to replace it with a rather shorter and lighter general biography, taking advantage of material which has subsequently become available and talking to more people, giving less detail and concentrating more on the personal life, and looking back from a longer perspective.

Moorehead is a professional writer and has done a professional job, and the result will certainly be welcome to readers who aren't already familiar with the many writings by and about Russell. It is good to have a fresh look at the man who she says was "perhaps the last public sage", and it is also good to have a look by a woman who wasn't personally involved with him. He has suffered the inevitable decline of famous people after death — indeed, he began to suffer it before his death — but after more than twenty years it is stirring to read a new book "about Russell's character and ideas, about his friends and the

women he loved, about the causes he fought for and the sense of wonder and eloquence he brought to everything he touched". But Moorehead, like her predecessors, isn't really capable of rising to the extraordinary pitch of his style or power of his personality. And, like her predecessors again, she isn't really capable of handling the vast range of his interests and activities, and readers who are familiar with particular aspects of the subject will find the book less satisfactory.

I find the treatment of Russell's contributions to politics and to freethought especially weak. His marginal relationship with the anarchist movement is never explored, and his central relationship with the nuclear disarmament movement is often distorted. (For example, the accounts of his associates and followers in the Committee of 100 seem quite wrong to at least one of the latter.) His long relationship with the freethought movement is seriously neglected. Moorehead discusses some of his speeches and writings about religion, but doesn't note that the former were for the National Secular Society and the South Place Ethical Society or that the latter were for the Rationalist Press Association (of which he was president for fifteen years). The crucial point to make is that he was one of the most influential public freethinkers in this country in this century. Even on less familiar ground, I was surprised to find Hegel said to "set out his writings in dialectical triads, consisting of thesis. antithesis and synthesis", when he never did anything of the kind; but then I remembered that Russell himself made the same mistake in his best-known book, A History of Western Philosophy! Following current publishing fashion, the book contains an alarming number of minor mistakes and misprints, and it is to be hoped that these will be corrected for the inevitable paperback edition.

NICOLAS WALTER

(25)

MORE BOOK REVIEWS

From The [Glasgow] Herald, March 12, 1992:

Tormented soul of a closet Romantic

THE SELECTED LETTERS OF BERTRAND RUSSELL: Volume 1: THE PRIVATE "YEARS, 1884-1914

Allen Lane / The Penguin Press, \$25 (pp 553)

ALAN BOLD

LIKE the late Tony Hancock I long admired Bertrand Russell. As a populariser of philosophy he (Russell, not Hancock) was in he (Russell, not Hanocck) was in a class of his own; as an irritat-ingly self-fighteous liberal he still made sense on moral is-sues; as an admittedly muddled political thinker he usually managed to say the right, or left, things. It was only as he approached his dotage that he be-gan to make an ass of himself on occasions, the celebrated man of reason indulging in irrational outboards."

coubursts. ***

Researce of Russell's beautifully written Atthobiography are often: startled by the contrast between the mesthodical mathematician and the imp of a man who improvised his private life. When he -met Alya Pearsall Smith, the Quaker woman who became (in 1894) the first of his four wives, it was 'love at first sight?'; 'when he and Lady Ottoline Morrell' agreed to become lowers' is a light, he immediately "wanted to leave Alya." This selection of Russell's letters -- only one of which (to Frege) has been previously published in its entirety -- is dominated by Alya and Ottoline.

In the years covered by Griffin's volume, of course, Russell produced, with A. N. Whitehead, Principle Mathematica (1910), a classic of mathematical logic. Yet when Russell finally finished the book he wrote to Laury Dennelly on Cochert 18. ders of Russell's beautiful-

construction of an analysis of the first open of the provided and the book, he wrote to the first open of the book, he wrote to the first open of the book, he wrote to the first open of the book is to the book in the book

which possibly no human being will ever discover." That antici-pates the opinion be expressed, in his old age, of mathematics as essentially trivial, even "disgusting." Compare the intellectual en-mit of the letter in Donnelly

in his old age, of mathematics as easentially trivial, even "disgusting."

Compare the intellectual enmit of the letter to Donnelly with the exhibiration of the letter, in Quakerese, to Alys on January 1, 1894. "I have felt the last remnants of hatred and bit terness melting away in thy love; I will be filled with goodwill to all henceforth and not hate or despise those who are less fortunate than I am. It is delightful to think thee is as happy as I am. I have been living all day in a dream of heavenly joy. Dear Alys I cannot write any more only silence is adequate." Though Russell's friend Wittgenstein was to make a philosophical virtue of silence, Russell could never stay silent for long. There are some 50,000 letters in the Russell Archives and Russell published so many books it would take a mathematician to count the titles quickly:

It is evident from the letters.

It is evident from the letters assembled by Griffin that Rus-sell was a closet Romantic. In published works and public pro-nouncements he promoted himnouncements ne promoted him-self as a supremely crudite rationalist. a man who rested his case on logical clarity. Rus-sell in love was a widty impul-sive creature.

sell in love was a wlidty impulsive creature.

"On March Ri, 1911, shority after his first night of near peasons with Ottoline ("I did not have full relations with Ottoline that evening" he explained in his Autobiography he wrote to his new sweetheast. "The world is so changed these last 65 hours that I am still bewildered ... I see your face always. ... I love you very dearly." Nice one. Bertie, shame about the face—in the Autobiography Russell observed that Ottoline had "a long thin false something like a horse."

If a closet Romantic, Russell



gentiemen "Is a label black of think I needn't bother with politics much longer." Wishful thinking. The last letter in this book dates from August 1914, the month Britain declared war on Germany. Russell told Margaret Llewelyn Davies: "You were right about the Liberals. I have done with them. I feel it utter madness for us to join this war."

"In the unknown German was Wittgenstein. In Harvard, in 1914, Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe pupils in a letter to Lucy Done and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe pupils in a letter to Lucy Done and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

Representing Russell as: "Mr Appolina poem in Prufrocki whoe and passionate talk der the afternoon."

acters he encountered. On Octo-ber 18, 1911, he told Ottoline of an odd visit to Trinity College, Cambridge: "an unknown Ger-man appeared, speaking very g little English but-sethating to." speak German. I am much interested by my German, and shall hope to see is to furn."

nelly: very capable of a certain requisiteness of appreciation, but lacking in the crude insight no order to achieve anything. In order to achieve anything the passionate, this selection the order to achieve anything to obligatory reading for everyone interested in order of the most possible with the property of the century, and the century is the century of the century.

From The Evening Standard, March 12, 1992 and The Sunday Telegraph, September

Love and a cool climate

THE SELECTED LETTERS OF BERTRAND RUSSELL, YOLUME I: THE PRIVATE YEARS 1884-1914 belas Griffin

of syphilis in 1900 that sex i its ugiy head again in the s of academe.

movine when he cha get starred.
was certainly a virgin when he
rried Alys Pearsal Smith, at the
aker meeting house in St
rtin's Lane in 1894, and sex does
seem to have played a large
in their relationating even at
hegimning, it was more a matter
eatteral care.

oral care. never really wanted to Bertie, His family were do-

Both exchanges, incidentally, reflect immensely well on the participants, who reacted as true scholars without vanity. This book is full of interest, both human and philosophical. The Letters of Diogenes would have been much more dispiriting. And this e Colin Engrapt was, until pesterdar, menaging director of Duckworth.

The vehement philosopher

Paul Johnson on an entertaining account of the iong life of the controversial Bertrand Russell



(26)

DEATH OF EDWIN WILSON

This Associated Press obituary appeared in many newspapers, including the Chicago Tribune, March 29, 1993, sect. 2, p. 7. Is anyone aware of what, if any, relationship existed between Russell and Edwin Wilson?

Edwin Wilson, a founder of American humanism

SALT LAKE CITY (AP)— Edwin H. Wilson, a founder of American humanism and a prominent Unitarian Universalist minister, died Friday. He was 94.

Mr. Wilson was the first editor in 1928 of The New Humanist magazine and the first editor in 1941 of The Humanist. He was also a primary author of both "A Humanist Manifesto" in 1933 and "Humanist Manifesto II" in 1973.

Mr. Wilson was a pastor in a

number of Unitarian churches, including the First Unitarian Church in Salt Lake City from 1946 to 1949.

In 1941 Mr. Wilson formed, along with philosopher John Dewey and others, the American Humanist Association. In 1964 he founded the Fellowship of Religious Humanists, an independent affiliate of the Unitarian Universalist Association.

He was named Humanist of the Year in 1979 by the American Humanist Association. Other recipients of the award have included Carl Sagan, Margaret Sanger, Jonas Salk, Isaac Asimov, Margaret Atwood, Ted Turner and Kurt Vonnegut.

The humanist manifestos characterized the universe as "self-existing" and defined religion as the actions, purposes and experiences that are humanly significant, said the Rev. Barbara Hamilton-Holway of the South Valley Unitarian Universalist Society.

Survivors include two sons.

(27)

NOTES FROM AMERICAN LIBRARIES

A publication of the American Library Association, American Libraries for January 1993, pp. 70-71 included two bits of news that may relate to Russell studies.

"The Rare Book and Special Collections Library at the University of Illinois/ Urbana-Champaign (277 Main Library, 1408 W. Gregory, Urbana, IL 61801) has been home to the renowned H.G. Wells Archive since the 1950s, but at the time of the initial acquisition, numerous letters and other items of a particularly private nature were retained by the family. Now acquired by the library, these coveted materials constitute a purchase that will finally enable scholars to fully access Wells's complex life and its effect on his writings." We look forward to learning if the collection holds Russell-related materials.

"...a new National Security Archive Documents Reader, the <u>Cuban Missle Crisis</u>, <u>1962</u>, makes previously secret and extremely sensitive papers available to the <u>book-reading</u> public for the first time....\$25 from National Book Company, Keystone Industrial Park, Scranton, PA 18512 (1-56584-019-4, 92-53734)." Again, there may be something here directly or indirectly related to Russell.

(28)

MORE PHILATELIC RUSSELL

RSN, No. 76, November 1992 reproduced a topical postal cover, autographed by "Bertrand Russell," bearing U.S. post office cancellations from Bertrand, Missouri and Russell, Illinois. Sheila Turcon has provided us with another such hand-cancelled autographed topical cover reproduction, whose original is in the Russell Archives. As shown below, this cover has cancellations from Bertrand, Nebraska on January 13, 1960 and Russell, Illinois on January 7, 1960. According to Sheila, it was sent to Russell on February 17, 1960 by Steward S. Jurist of Brooklyn, New York. Apparently Mr. Jurist actually sent two such covers. Russell probably signed both, kept one (the one depicted), and returned the other to Mr. Jurist.

Sheila also corrected our earlier statement that only India had issued a Russell stamp. In addition to India, commemorative Russell stamps have been issued by Upper Volta and Granada. A future $\overline{\text{RSN}}$ issue will feature reproductions of these stamps.

Thank you, Sheila. Anyone else having more philatelic Russell information is encouraged to write to us.

